UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,727	01/22/2001	Paul Foster	21300.105003	1307
20786 KING & SPAL	7590 04/14/200 <b>DING</b>		EXAMINER	
1180 PEACHT	REE STREET , NE		HAMILTON, LALITA M	
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3521			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/766,727	FOSTER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lalita M. Hamilton	3691				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the part of the plant is a second control of the part of the	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DEPLY WILLIAM TH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	anuary 2009					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,10,11,15-25 and 27-31</u> is/are p	ending in the application					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6, 10-11, 15-25, and 27-31</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	o rejected.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
	on Papers	4					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<del>-</del> · · ·	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 09/766,727 Page 2

Art Unit: 3691

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the amendment filed on January 29, 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3, 6, 10-11, 15-25, and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruben (7,143,048) in view of Florance (6,871,140) and Broadbent (6,904,412), as set forth in the previous Office Action.

Art Unit: 3691

With regard to the amended claims, Ruben discloses populating a lease agreement with owner property information (the system may pre-populate key information, which may include owner property information—col.4, lines 10-20, 50-60).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 29, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that none of the references discloses or suggests populating a lease agreement with owner property information. In response, Ruben discloses the following:

Key data fields are captured during entity profile and user profile setup in the Administration module. This process is described below. The data is associated to property entities within the system and is accessible based on the user entity role level, as explained below. Data contained in these fields is used to direct and provide information to service request workflows and other parts of the system, e.g., pre-populating fields and choices to help simplify the user's use of the system. (col.4, lines 10-20).

Certain data is entered into the system relative to a particular property. A property represents the physical location of any type of property, such as a commercial office building, apartment house, shopping mall, etc. Associated with a particular property are entities generally comprising the property owner, property manager, one or more tenants, one or more contractors and vendors. A profile is to be set up for each of these entity categories, as selected in S113. The appropriate screen is displayed for entry of the data on the selected category. (col.4, lines 50-60).

Ruben discloses populating a lease agreement with owner property information (the system may pre-populate key information, which may include owner property information—col.4, lines 10-20, 50-60). Once the property information is entered into

Art Unit: 3691

the system, the system may pre-populate the fields to simplify the user's use of the system. Pre-populating fields with important information is not a novel.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kalinowski Alexander can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/766,727 Page 5

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lalita M Hamilton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691